Fr. David Hewko – First Saturday, Feast of St. Pius V (Partial) – Boston, Kentucky May 5th, 2018

13:01 min:

Pope St. Pius V was praying, all of Catholic Europe was praying. The victory went to the Catholic armies. It was a victory that they attributed to the Blessed Virgin Mary because the Catholics were outnumbered, and they should have been defeated, numeric wise. It was a great victory. They drove the ships back and the Muslims were crippled. And the Muslims never forgot that defeat. The Catholic armies gathered off the ships all the banners, the flags of the Muslims, brought them back and laid them at the feet of the Pope as a gratitude to Our Lady and those were kept as trophies of Our Lady's victory on Oct 7, Feast of Our Lady of the Holy Rosary until the dreadful day when in the spirit of ecumenism, Pope Paul VI gave away those banners back to the Muslims, to his shame in this whole spirit of false ecumenism.

@ 15:57

....

St. Pius V was the Pope of the victory over the Muslim invasions. Make no mistake, when these Muslims were massacring, as we know now they're not about peace and love. When they live their Koran, it means butchering the Catholics, torturing them and killing them. This is exactly what was happening all throughout the Holy Land since the middle ages. That's why the great crusades and it was happening again in the Catholic nations. That's why St. Pius V called the crusade of Lepanto. The Muslims always will be the scourge from God for the Catholic nations that lose the faith. Now, as they themselves said, we will take over Europe. When the whistle blows when it is time for the jihad there'll be so much blood they'll be walking in their ankles in blood, as the prophesies say and it's already happening. Where they come it's looting, rape, destruction. Sweden is suffering from this. In Germany, the liberals, fools who cheered them on as they marched into their cities, now they're weeping. Now they're regretting it. Poland is the only one that has stood up. God bless Poland, may they be strong and may Poland come back to Catholic tradition. So, the great St. Pius V, he was great for the defense of Catholic Europe. If they had lost this war, we would be speaking Arabic, that's how serious this was.

What was the other great achievement of St. Pius V among the many was the Tridentine Latin Mass. Did he invent a new Mass as the liberals try to say? Well, Pius V invented a new mass so Paul VI can invent a new mass. FALSE! St. Pius V didn't invent any Mass.

He himself said this Mass of the Roman rite goes back to the Apostles and almost word for word back to St. Gregory the Great Sacramentary. St. Gregory the Great says this missal comes since the Apostles and Our Lord who taught the Apostles how to say Mass. It was handed down and finally written in the time of St. Gregory- that's the five and six-hundreds.

What did St. Pius V do? All he did was trim off a few frills. Instead of Mass being prolonged by a thousand other prayers at the end of Mass or the beginning of Mass. He trimmed those off and he kept the essence of the Mass. He also wrote the Bull, Quo *Primum*. It's on the very first page of that missal. The Tridentine Missal.

The Bull, *Quo Primum* - let me quote a little bit from it because many priests since Vat II, many priests like Fr. Victor Mroz in Buffalo, he had it hung up everywhere in his church and chapel. In the basement even in the bathroom was the Bull *Quo Primum*. Because that was his only defense against his Franciscan provincial who tried to force him to say the new mass. He refused and he was kicked out of the Franciscans. Think of that. He had for his spiritual Father St. Maximilian Kolbe in Poland for 14 yrs. Fr. Victor Mroz was one of those priests who went to Japan and discovered many Japanese Catholics in

the mountains of Japan who had not seen a priest for almost 200 years. Some of them had not seen a priest, their relatives, since the time of St. Francis Xavier but they kept the faith in Japan through the Rosary and the scapular.

This good priest, Fr. Victor Mroz that was his defense. And Fr. Cummins in Australia and over a hundred priests in the United States defended their priesthood and the true Mass with the Bull *Quo Primum* which says. I quote: *We give and grant, says St. Pius V, in perpetuity* (meaning till the end of the world) *that, for the singing or reading of Mass in any church whatsoever, this Missal is hereafter to be followed absolutely, without any scruple of conscience* (oh am I being disobedient or not? Don't have any scruples.) *or fear of incurring any penalty, (even from the Pope himself) or judgment, or censure, and may freely and lawfully be used. Nor shall bishops, administrators, canons, chaplains, and other secular priests, or religious of whatsoever Order, or by whatsoever title designated, be obliged to celebrate the Mass otherwise than as enjoined by Us. We likewise order and declare that no one whosoever shall be forced or coerced into altering this Missal.* How many priests were forced to change this Mass into the transition Mass of the '64, '65, '67, '68 and then in 1969 the new Mass? All of those priests should have refused. So, no priest shall be forced or coerced to change this Mass? and that this present constitution (Quo Primum) can never be revoked or modified. (so, every priest till the end of the world has the right to say this Mass)

Then later he'll say at the end: Should any person (that includes the Pope) venture to change anything in this Missal let him understand that he will incur the wrath of Almighty God and of the Blessed Apostles Peter and Paul.

Given at St. Peter's in Rome in the year of the Lord's Incarnation, 1570, on the 14th of July of the Fifth year of Our Pontificate.

That's the Bull *Quo Primum*. So, when we look at the new Mass and how Pope Paul VI and Bishop Bugnini who wrote the new Mass, how the Pope basically introduced a schismatic rite. He did not lawfully nor legitimately promulgate that Mass and he can't. He cannot introduce a new Mass. The pope cannot do that. Why? Because this Mass fits into what's called the ordinary magisterium. That means it's infallible. What is taught in the ordinary magisterium is part of the infallible authority of the Church. This is very important because many Catholics today will say, well if it's not ex cathedra, if the pope hasn't solemnly defined it I don't need to believe it. That's not true. If the Church has always taught it we must believe it. It's part of the ordinary magisterium. That includes all the encyclicals condemning separation of Church and state, liberty of the press. All the encyclicals condemning modernism. All of them are with infallible authority. *Quanta Cura* is truly ex cathedra and the *Syllabus of Errors* is ex cathedra but it also just repeats what the Church has always taught. It's very important that Catholics understand this.

Regarding the new Mass, Fr. Joseph Gelineau, a Jesuit who said at the time of the new Mass, "*The Roman Rite as we knew it no longer exists.*" He's talking about the new Mass. Which is practiced just about in every parish Church. "*It has been destroyed. Some walls of the former edifice have fallen while others have changed their appearance to the extent that it appears today either as a ruin or the partial substructure of a different building."*

Francisco Suarez a great theologian of the 1500-1600's, says, "Any pope who wishes to overturn the rites of the Church based on Apostolic tradition would become a schismatic not to be obeyed." So truly that new Mass is a schismatic rite. The new Mass facing the people. And even if the new Mass is said in Latin, facing the altar, it's a schismatic rite and no priest can say it. They must refuse to say it.